## Service Secretaries Back Joint Chiefs on A

By George C. Wilson Washington Post Staff Writer

or the first time, have come leased yesterday.

Air Force toward the military length.

tussia's missile defense with not really directly related to favored sitting out the ABM leaving this country worse off race even if Russia went than before.

The letter offensive ICBMs. He their ABM deployment." race even if Russia went than before.

The letter offensive ICBMs. He their ABM deployment." ahead full speed.

The letter offensive ICBMs. He their ABM deployment." ahead full speed. tussia's missile defense with not really directly related to effensive ICBMs. He elieves the United States hould not automatically build missile defense if Russian annot be talked out of full eployment of such a system.

These and other politically way We will continue that as most this would cost about \$20 an anti-ballistic-missile defense around ICBM; and anti-ballistic-missile defense around ICBM; a

House Defense Appropriations fensive forces, make it desira-States All three service secretaries, subcommittee hearings re-ble."

out publicly for building a ing views within the Pentagon lion which could be spent to thin" missile defense around on the ABM question, the substant putting a missile defense on the ABM question, the substant putting a missile defense on the ABM question, the substant putting a missile defense on the ABM question, the substant putting a missile defense on the sub neads of the Army, Navy and Joint Chiefs, together at talks with the Russians fail.

ue and away from their civil-cussions designed to achieve a sia any more military security, fensive programs." an boss, Defense Secretary freeze on missile defenses, even if as much as \$40 billion mara's argument that a U.S. Robert S. McNamara. Were spent on it by this county were spent on it by this county that a U.S. contends the ion of whether we should or try. But he stopped short of ABM system would prompt Inited States should match should not deploy an ABM is telling the subcommittee he Russia to upgrade its offense,

These and other politically way. We will continue that as regnant views of Pentagon long as their defensive deploy-

President Johnson's fiscal countries." To get on record the differ- 1968 budget contains \$377 mil-

inti-ballistic-missile (ABM) is-deploying an ABM despite dis-ther the United States or Rus-tion or responses in Soviet of-

mate this would cost about \$20 an anti-ballistic-missile debillion. McNamara argues that the subject to the results of uncovered cities would deproposed negotiations with mand missile protection, push the Soviet Union . . . We ing the total ABM cost up to ought to know what a first read billion. \$40 billion.

cost at \$4 billion.

would have three objectives: ble.
1. "To deny damage from "It is a lot easier to defend the early Chinese Communist missiles than to defend ICBM threat and to limit fatal-people," Brown said. "In my ities from increased Chinese opinion, that, plus a thin popu-Communist ICBM threats." lation defense is the maxi-

2. "To provide increased mum which is really worth protection for Minuteman doing." (ICBM) squadrons against Sc viet attacks."

leaders are contained in ment, or changes in their of 3. "To safeguard the United against launches of missiles by other

The Army Secretary agreed with McNamara that such an the United States if talks with committee questioned McNa-Russia fail.

This many the civilian on the ABM question, the sub-start putting a missile defense antimissile system "would not around the United States, and Gen. Earle G. rather than just continuing provide a strategically mean-This moves the civilian Wheeler, chairman of the the research on it, if the ABM ingful defense" against an alks with the Russians fail.

McNamara believes a misadded: "It need not, therefore, Asked whether Russia keeps sile defense would not buy ei-provoke any drastic modifica-

This last point rebuts McNa-

generation system can do."

While agreeing that the U.S. Air Force Secretary Harold should first try to negotiate an Brown, formerly McNamara's ABM freeze with Russia, research boss, said: "If these Army Secretary Stanley R talks (with Russia) do not suc-Resor told the subcommittee ceed it might be well worth-that if those efforts failed the while to put up a \$5 billion U.S. should build a defense system to defend the popularity sites and a few Hong against a great attack by for missile sites and a few ton against a small attack by cities. The Army estimates the the Chinese and to do such things as defend our missiles

Such a defense, Resor said, so that they could be surviva-

Approved For Release 2006/01/30: CIA-RDP70B00338R000300090015-0